

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/975,418	10/11/2001	Karoline Bechtold-Peters	1/1149	4479		
28501	7590 06/29/2004	EXAMINER				
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877			AZPURU, C.	AZPURU, CARLOS A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615	1,		
		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applica	tion No.	pplicant(s)			
			418	BECHTOLD-PETERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Carlos A	A. Azpuru	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess		
A SH THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-58 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-58 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` '		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		52)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (P1O-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	F1U/3B/U8)	6) Other:	аюн арушашин (г тО-т	<i></i>		

Application/Control Number: 09/975,418

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the petition to file an RCE filed 06/02/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 13, 14, 18-25, 32-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. in view of Ahmed.

Arnold et al disclose an inhalable powder which combines finer and coarser particles (See Abstract). The coarser particles range from a size of greater than 20 um To finer particles smaller than 10 um. The weight ratio of fine to coarse particles is between 1:99 and 95:5 (see col. 1, lines 55-57), which falls within the claimed ratio of 1 to 20 %for finer particles to total excipient. The proportion of active ingredient in these inhalable powders is 0.1 to 0.1 to about 5 mg of excipient mixture. So about 0.2% found in the mixture, which falls within the claimed range of 0.4 to 0.8%, 0.48 and .096%, 0.5 and 1%. The quantity of preparation for each application is between 1 to 20 mg (see col.2, line 2). The excipients used may be monosaccharides, disaccharides, polysaccharides, polyalcohols and inorganic salts (see claim 3). Arnold et al clearly discloses the type of inhalable powder and method of manufacturing it, as well as the

Application/Control Number: 09/975,418

Art Unit: 1615

inclusion of bioactives. The reference however lacks the teaching of the specific inclusion of tiotropium as the inhalable bioactive, as well as its use in the treatment of COPD and specifically asthma.

The Ahmed reference teaches that the treatment of asthma (See column 1, lines 1-67; col. 2, lines 1-6). Medications such as tiotropium bromide are specifically recited for this therapeutic use at col. 6, line 57. Therefore, it would have been well within the skill of the ordinary practitioner to use the inhalable powder formulation disclosed by Arnold et al and further to use tiotropium as the bioactive for its well know use in treating COPD and asthma in particular. Those of ordinary skill would have expected similar therapeutic results in the treatment of asthma from the instant formulation given the teachings of Arnold et al in view of Ahmed. Therefore, the instant formulation comprising coarse and fine particles in an inhalable powder formulation containing tiotropium would have been obvious in view of Arnold et al in view of Ahmed.

Claims 15-17, 26-31, and 52-58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. in view of Ahmed, both further in view of Horhota et al.

Arnold et al disclose an inhalable powder which combines finer and coarser particles (See Abstract). The coarser particles range from a size of greater than 20 um to finer particles smaller than 10 um. The weight ratio of fine to coarse particles is between 1:99 and 95:5 (see col. 1, lines 55-57), which falls within the claimed ratio of 1

**Art Unit: 1615** 

to 20 %for finer particles to total excipient. The proportion of active ingredient in these inhalable powders is 0.1 to 0.1 to about 5 mg of excipient mixture. So about 0.2% is found in the mixture, which falls within the claimed range of 0.4 to 0.8%, 0.48 and .096%, 0.5 and 1%. The quantity of preparation for each application is between 1 to 20 mg (see col.2, line 2). The excipients used may be monosaccharides, disaccharides, polysaccharides, polyalcohols and inorganic salts (see claim 3). Arnold et al clearly discloses the type of inhalable powder and method of manufacturing it, as well as the inclusion of bioactives. The reference however lacks the teaching of the specific inclusion of tiotropium as the inhalable bioactive, as well as its use in the treatment of COPD and specifically asthma.

The Ahmed reference teaches that the treatment of asthma (See column 1, lines 1-67; col. 2, lines 1-6). Medications such as tiotropium bromide are specifically recited for this therapeutic use at col. 6, line 57. Therefore, it would have been well within the skill of the ordinary practitioner to use the inhalable powder formulation disclosed by Arnold et al and further to use tiotropium as the bioactive for its well know use in treating COPD and asthma in particular. Those of ordinary skill would have expected similar therapeutic results in the treatment of asthma from the instant formulation given the teachings of Arnold et al in view of Ahmed. Therefore, the instant formulation comprising coarse and fine particles in an inhalable powder formulation containing tiotropium would have been obvious in view of Arnold et al in view of Ahmed.

Art Unit: 1615

Both references lack a teaching of using such a powder in an inhalant capsule. In a related reference, Horhota et al disclose that such capsules are commonly used to store powdered inhalant formulations (see col. 1, lines 25-67; col. 2, lines 1-62). Among the bioactives included in such formulations is tiotropium bromide). It would have therefore been within the skill of the ordinary practitioner to claim the instant formulation contained within an inhalant capsule given the teachings of Horhota et al, with an expectation of similar therapeutic results. The ordinary practitioner would have found it obvious to claim the powder formulation in view of Arnold et al in view of Ahmed, and would have further found it within their skill to place such a formulation within an inhalant capsule given the teachings of Horhota et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,418

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ca

PRIMARY EXAMINER